

01  
02  
03  
04  
05  
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

08 RONALD L. BASKETT,

09 Petitioner,

10 v.

11 KENNETH QUINN,

12 Respondent.

) Case No. C06-1525-JLR-MJB

) ORDER

13  
14  
15 This matter comes before the court upon Petitioner Ronald Baskett's motion for  
16 certificate of appealability, which is contained in Mr. Baskett's notice of appeal (Dkt. #  
17 22).

18 A certificate of appealability may issue only if the "applicant has made a  
19 substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).  
20 The certificate must also "indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy [that test]." 28  
21 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(3). An applicant makes a substantial showing if they demonstrate  
22 that their petition involves issues which are debatable among reasonable jurists, that a  
23 court could resolve the issues differently, or that the issues are adequate enough to  
24 deserve encouragement to proceed further. See Dawson v. Mahoney, 451 F.3d 550,  
25 551 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).  
26

01 The court dismissed Mr. Baskett's petition for writ of habeas corpus on the basis  
02 that he failed to exhaust state court remedies. Mr. Baskett's direct appeal is still  
03 pending at the Washington State Supreme Court. Because Mr. Baskett has not  
04 demonstrated that the resolution of his petition involves issues that are debatable among  
05 reasonable jurists, his motion does not meet the standard for a certificate of  
06 appealability.

07 For the foregoing reasons, the court DENIES Mr. Baskett's motion for  
08 certificate of appealability (Dkt. # 22).

09 DATED this 5th day of April, 2007.

10  
11   
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 JAMES L. ROBART  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26